

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUNICE HUSBAND,

Plaintiff,

v.

D. KNAPP, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

AND NOW, THIS 17TH DAY OF APRIL, 2014, upon *de novo* review of Magistrate Judge Carlson's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 6), Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. 1), and all related filings, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 6) is **ADOPTED**, for the reasons stated therein.
2. Plaintiff's Objections (Doc. 8) are **OVERRULED**.
3. Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** as follows:
 - a. Defendants Taggart, Entzel, Wilson, Young, Frederick, Benfer, Adonis, Brown, Magyar, Pigos, Norwood, Tafelski, and Miosi are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation. The Court notes that Plaintiff states more specific, non-supervisory allegations against some of them in his Objections.

FILED
SCRANTON

APR 17 2014

PER JK
DEPUTY CLERK

However, all such allegations must be made with specificity in the body of Plaintiff's Complaint.

- b. Plaintiff's demand for a specified sum of damages is **STRICKEN** from the Complaint **WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to be raised in a subsequent proceeding, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation.
4. Plaintiff shall have **TWENTY (20) DAYS** from the date of this Order to file an Amended Complaint.
5. The case is **REMANDED** to Magistrate Judge Carlson for further proceedings consistent with this Order.



Robert D. Martani
United States District Judge